

FILED  
6/21/2022 2:02 PM  
IRIS Y. MARTINEZ  
CIRCUIT CLERK  
COOK COUNTY, IL  
2021CH00177  
Calendar, 8  
18368215

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS  
COUNTY DEPARTMENT, CHANCERY DIVISION**

ANTHONY SMITH, on behalf of himself and all others  
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

RADISSON HOSPITALITY, INC.; RADISSON  
HOTELS INTERNATIONAL, INC.; and RADISSON  
HOTELS MANAGEMENT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2021 CH 00177  
Judge Michael T. Mullen

**DECLARATION OF ARUN G. RAVINDRAN IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S  
UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR SERVICE AWARD AND FEE AWARD**

I, Arun Ravindran, hereby aver, pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/1-109, that I am fully competent to make this Declaration, that I have personal knowledge of all matters set forth herein unless otherwise indicated, and that I would testify to all such matters if called as a witness in this matter.

1. I represent Plaintiff Anthony Smith (hereinafter "Representative Plaintiff") in this action, and I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Service Award and Fee Award filed concurrently herewith.<sup>1</sup>

**BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE**

2. I am a member in good standing of the Florida Bar and the New York Bar and the United States District Courts for the Southern District of Florida, Southern District of New York, Western District of Wisconsin, and the United States Courts of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. I have been admitted to this Court *pro hac vice*.

3. I received my Bachelor of Arts from Emory University in 2002 and my Juris Doctor from Emory University School of Law in 2007. From 2007 through 2009 I practiced federal criminal defense in New York City as an Associate with the Law Office of Jesse M. Siegel. From

---

<sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise defined herein, capitalized words and phrases shall have the same meaning as in Section 2 ("Definitions") of the Settlement Agreement, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

2009 through 2013 I served as a Judge Advocate in the United States Marine Corps serving as a defense counsel (trying 6 courts-martial to verdict) and legal assistance attorney. From 2013 through 2014, I served as law clerk to the Honorable Patricia A. Seitz, United States District Judge for the Southern District of Florida. During my clerkship with Judge Seitz, I managed one-third of the Court's civil docket and drafted numerous orders and opinions at all stages of litigation in a wide range of class action matters. Following my clerkship, I served as an Assistant Federal Public Defender (AFPD) in the Southern District of Florida for approximately five years. I appeared as counsel of record in more than 300 federal criminal cases and tried sixteen federal criminal trials to verdict. Following my service as an AFPD I worked at Berger Singerman, LLP, a full-service law firm with offices throughout Florida, as a Dispute Resolution Team Associate from September 2019 through January 2021.

4. I joined the law firm Hedin Hall, LLP in January 2021 and my practice (and the Firm's) focuses exclusively on consumer, data privacy, and securities class actions in state and federal courts around the country.

5. Hedin Hall LLP was founded in March 2018. With offices in Miami, Florida and San Francisco, California, our firm focuses on class action litigation in the data privacy, financial services, and securities realms (*see* Ex. 2 hereto (Hedin Hall LLP firm resume)), and takes on as much pro bono work as we possibly can, *see, e.g., Groover v. U.S. Corrections, LLC, et al.*, No. 15-cv-61902-BB (S.D. Fla.) (representing plaintiff and putative class against country's largest private prisoner extradition companies in Section 1983 civil rights action alleging violations of the Eighth Amendment).

6. Over the past three and a half years, the firm has secured meaningful relief for classes of consumers and investors in a wide range of matters. *E.g., Olsen, et al. v. ContextLogic Inc.*, No. 19CH06737 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. Ill., Jan 7, 2020) (\$16 million settlement of TCPA class action finally approved); *E.g., Donahue v. Everi Payments, Inc., et al.*, No. 2018-CH-15419 (Cook Cnty., Ill. Cir. Ct.) (\$14 million settlement of FACTA class action finally approved); *Owens, et al. v. Bank of America, N.A., et al.*, No. 19-cv-20614-MGC (S.D. Fla.) (\$4.95 million settlement

of overdraft-fee class action finally approved); *Liggio v. Apple Federal Credit Union*, No. 18-cv-1059-LO (E.D. Va.) (\$2.7 million settlement of overdraft-fee class action finally approved); *Kokoszki v. Playboy Enterprises, Inc.*, No. 19-cv-10302-BAF (E.D. Mich.) (\$3.8 million settlement of Michigan PPPA class action finally approved); *In re Menlo Therapeutics Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Case No. 18CIV06049 (Cal. Sup Ct., San Mateo County) (\$9.5 million class settlement on behalf of IPO investors finally approved); *In re EverQuote, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, (N.Y. Supreme, New York County), Case No. 651177/2019 (\$4.75 million class settlement on behalf of IPO investors finally approved).

7. In addition to the instant matter, Hedin Hall LLP presently serves as class counsel or lead or co-lead plaintiffs' counsel on behalf of plaintiffs and putative classes of consumers in several data-privacy matters. The firm also currently represents consumers in class actions against financial institutions arising from the assessment of allegedly improper fees, interest, and other charges to consumers' accounts. Another aspect of our practice is representing classes of aggrieved investors in securities class actions in state and federal courts nationwide.

8. A copy of the firm resume of Hedin Hall LLP is attached hereto as Exhibit 2. Hedin Hall is well suited to continue to represent the Representative Plaintiff and Settlement Class in this matter.

## **PREFILING INVESTIGATION, NEGOTIATION AND SETTLEMENT**

### **I. Pre-Filing Investigation**

9. Hedin Hall commenced our investigation into the factual and legal issues underlying this case in 2020. The pre-filing efforts that the firm undertook included:

- A. Researching the nature of Defendants Radisson Hospitality, Inc., Radisson Hotels International Inc., and Radisson Hotels Management Corporation (collectively, "Defendants" or "Radisson") business, size, number of employees, and locations;
- B. Interviewing Representative Plaintiff to understand Defendants' timekeeping practices and whether such practices were BIPA compliant;
- C. Determining the likely measure of statutory damages that would be awarded if

successful in a BIPA action against the company;

- D. Assessing the factual and legal basis for any potential defenses to the BIPA claims alleged in the Complaint; and
- E. Reviewing Defendants' litigation history to determine whether Defendants had any pending claims on either an individual or class-wide basis.

10. Due to these extensive information-gathering and pre-filing efforts, the firm was able to develop a viable theory of liability for BIPA claims against Defendants, analyze the legal issues relevant to the merits of claims, assess the likelihood of success of potential defenses, and ultimately prepare a complaint against Defendants aimed at maximizing the likelihood of certifying a class and recovering meaningful class-wide relief.

## **II. The Complaint and Ensuing Settlement Negotiations**

11. Following this pre-filing investigation and analysis, on January 15, 2021, Plaintiff filed his Class Action Complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Chancery Division.

12. Settlement negotiations commenced in mid-May 2021. To meaningfully advance those discussions, Defendants provided Plaintiff with discovery regarding the potential class size, availability of insurance coverage, and information relevant to Defendants' operative procedures.

13. The parties negotiated at arm's length for several months concerning various aspects of the relief and notice and distribution plan. Ultimately, the parties arrived at a proposed class-wide Settlement, memorialized in the Settlement Agreement (Ex. A).

14. Thereafter, after procuring estimates for the notice and distribution plan costs, the parties agreed to engage JND Legal Administration ("JND"), a nationally recognized class-action settlement administration company with prior experience administering BIPA employee class settlements, to administer the Settlement. Plaintiff's counsel and Defendants' counsel reviewed JND's quote, and upon determining the quote was reasonable and in line with industry standards, agreed to engage JND as Settlement Administrator. Plaintiff's counsel worked with Defendants' counsel and JND to ensure that the Notice complied with due process and applicable law and is easily understood by Settlement Class Members.

15. After completing confirmatory discovery, selecting a Settlement Administrator, and negotiating the remaining details of the proposed Settlement, the Settlement Agreement was executed on May 5, 2022.

#### **THE FAIRNESS AND REASONABLENESS OF THE SETTLEMENT**

16. As described above, my firm and I conducted a thorough independent examination, investigation, and evaluation, both prior to and after commencing this litigation, into each of the many factual and legal issues relevant to the merits of Plaintiff's claims and the defenses potentially available to Radisson, which enabled Representative Plaintiff and my firm to meaningfully assess the strengths and weaknesses of Representative Plaintiff's claims and the asserted defenses, as well as the likelihood of prevailing at class certification and obtaining relief for the Settlement Class.

17. We requested, obtained, and reviewed information bearing on the merits of the claims and issues of class certification, both prior to engaging in settlement discussions, as well as during negotiations and in the process of finalizing the settlement. As further prospective relief and as a material term of the Settlement Agreement, Defendants made the following representations: Defendants are no longer using the Time-Keeping System in Illinois, and have not done so since January 10, 2019; Defendants directed their timekeeping vendor to irretrievably destroy any and all data that might be considered "biometric information" or "biometric identifiers" for all current and former employees of the Radisson Blu Aqua Hotel in January 2019; Defendants' vendor represented that it had done so on January 10, 2019; and to the best of Defendants' knowledge, they do not have possession, custody or control, directly or indirectly, of any biometric information and/or biometric identifiers for any Settlement Class Member, any current or former employees of the Radisson Blu Aqua Hotel or any other individuals who have not signed BIPA-compliant consent documents. Defendants further agreed that in the event Defendants elect in the future to utilize a timekeeping system that is subject to the provisions of BIPA, Defendants will implement procedures and systems to comply with BIPA.

18. Over multiple telephone discussions and email exchanges, the Parties were able to finalize and memorialize each term of the Settlement Agreement and the various exhibits incorporated therein, including reaching agreement upon the precise form and content of the Notice. All of the Parties' settlement negotiations were conducted at an arm's length basis and without any form of collusion.

19. During the Parties' settlement discussions, Radisson's highly experienced counsel indicated that, had this litigation progressed, Radisson would have committed to defending and litigating this matter at every stage of the proceedings. Based on my experience litigating BIPA cases, unresolved issues pending before the Courts present real, substantial risks of non-recovery to the Settlement Class, including, among others, a potential narrowing of the five-year statute of limitations – currently pending before the Illinois Supreme Court in *Tims v. Black Horse Carriers, Inc.*, No. 127801 – and the question of when BIPA claims accrue – currently pending before the Illinois Supreme Court in *Cothron v. White Castle System, Inc.*, No. 128004. Additionally, during the pendency of the litigation whether the Workers Compensation Act ("IWCA") preempted workplace BIPA claims was also unresolved. See *McDonald v. Symphony Bronzeville Park, LLC*, 2022 IL 126511 (February 3, 2022) (holding the IWCA does not preempt BIPA). Further, Radisson's counsel indicated that that it would argue that the information captured by its fingerprint scanners were not actually "biometric identifiers" or "biometric information" subject to BIPA.

20. Under the Settlement Agreement, Defendant agreed to establish a Settlement Fund in an amount that equates to \$1000 for a violation of BIPA, multiplied by the number of Settlement Class Members (465), for a total payment of \$465,000.00. After deductions for Settlement Administration fees, and Attorneys' costs and fees and a Service Award (if approved), each Settlement Class Member who does not file a request for exclusion will automatically receive (without the need to file a claim form) a check or electronic wallet transfer for approximately \$570.00.

21. In light of the substantial, immediate benefits provided by the Settlement including

a cash payment directly to the members of the Settlement Class without the need for filing a claim form and the prospective relief the Settlement provides, I consider the Settlement an excellent outcome for the Settlement Class.

22. Representative Plaintiff and I executed the formal Settlement Agreement only after exploring every possible avenue of recovery, thoroughly negotiating each term of the Settlement Agreement and all exhibits thereto, and carefully confirming the size and scope of the Settlement Class.

23. Representative Plaintiff provided substantial assistance in advance of the litigation, (including providing information about Radisson's biometric timekeeping practices), vigorously prosecuted the case on behalf of the Settlement Class during the litigation and assisted Class Counsel in negotiating the proposed Settlement on behalf of the Settlement Class. Representative Plaintiff strongly supports the Settlement and believes that it is in the best interests of the Settlement Class.

24. Based on my experience litigating this case and the many prior similar cases Hedin Hall, LLP has handled, as discussed above, I firmly believe that the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and that it is in the best interests of the members of the Settlement Class.

25. On May 13, 2022, the Court granted preliminary approval of the Settlement.

26. Since the Court preliminarily approved the Settlement, Class Counsel has worked with the Settlement Administrator, JND, to carry out the Court-approved notice plan. Specifically, Class Counsel helped compile and review the contents of the class notices and reviewed and tested the settlement website. Class Counsel also worked with Defendants and JND to secure the class list and effectuate Notice.

27. Class Notice has been disseminated to the Settlement Class by U.S. Postal Mail. Moreover, the settlement website, [www.radissonblubiometricssettlement.com](http://www.radissonblubiometricssettlement.com), is live and contains all pertinent case documents and Orders, provides information about the Settlement and the process for objection, and permits individuals to submit exclusion requests, changes of address, and requests for payment to an electronic wallet. To date, no Settlement Class

Member has requested to be excluded from the Settlement.

**CLASS COUNSEL'S AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE'S EFFORTS**

28. Hedin Hall, LLP undertook this litigation on a contingency basis.

29. As set forth above, Hedin Hall LLP has devoted (and continues to devote) a significant amount of attorney time and other resources investigating, prosecuting and resolving this litigation.

30. Additionally, to date \$932.67 in out-of-pocket costs have been expended in connection with the investigation, prosecution, and resolution of this litigation. These costs and expenses include filing, service, and admissions fees, among others.

31. In addition to the work performed thus far, I estimate that significant time will be expended in the future performing work in connection with the fairness hearing, coordinating with JND, monitoring settlement administration, and responding to Settlement Class Member inquiries before this litigation and the settlement administration and distribution processes come to an end.

32. No court has ever cut Hedin Hall, LLP's fee application by a single dollar on the ground that our requested fee award was not reasonable.

33. The Class Representative's active involvement in this litigation was critical to its ultimate resolution. He took his role as class representative seriously, devoting time and effort to protecting the interests of the Settlement Class. As earlier described, the Representative Plaintiff participated in Class Counsel's investigation and provided background information regarding Defendant's collection of biometric information in connection with timekeeping. Additionally, he reviewed pleadings and court filings, consulted with Class Counsel on numerous occasions, and provided feedback on a number of other filings, most importantly the Settlement Agreement. Without his willingness to assume the risks and responsibilities of serving as the Class



Representative, I do not believe such a strong result could have been achieved. His involvement in this case has been nothing short of essential.

34. I firmly believe that the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interest of the Settlement Class.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 21st day of June 2022 in Miami, Florida.

/s/ Arun Ravindran  
Arun Ravindran

# **EXHIBIT 1**

**SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE AGREEMENT**

This Settlement and Release Agreement (“Agreement” or “Settlement Agreement”) is entered into by and between Radisson Hospitality, Inc., Radisson Hotels International Inc., Radisson Hotels Management Corporation (collectively “Defendants”), and Anthony Smith (“Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of the Settlement Class, in the case of *Anthony Smith v. Radisson Hospitality, Inc., et. al.* Case No. 2021CH 00177 in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, Law Division (the “Litigation”). Defendants and Plaintiff are each referred to herein as a “Party” and are collectively referred to as the “Parties.”

**I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND RECITALS**

1. The Parties expressly acknowledge that this Agreement is entered into solely for the purpose of compromising significantly disputed claims and that nothing herein is an admission of liability or wrongdoing by Defendants, or any of them, or any of their Affiliates or personnel. Defendants specifically deny all of Plaintiff’s claims as to liability and remedies in the Litigation, any and all claims or allegations asserting violations of Biometric Information Privacy Act, 740 ILCS § 14/1, *et seq.* (“BIPA”), Plaintiff’s class and representative allegations, and any other wrongdoing alleged by Plaintiff or otherwise, and expressly reserve all rights to challenge all such claims and allegations upon all procedural and substantive grounds, including the assertion of any and all defenses, if the Court does not approve this settlement.

2. On January 15, 2021, after a pre-filing investigation, Plaintiff Anthony Smith filed a putative class action lawsuit against Defendants alleging violations of the Illinois BIPA in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, Chancery Division.

3. The Parties thereafter commenced settlement negotiations after Defendants provided Plaintiff’s counsel evidence confirming the putative class size.

4. Following extensive arms-length negotiations, the Parties have negotiated a settlement that, if approved by the Court, will resolve all claims arising under BIPA that Plaintiff and members of the Settlement Class (defined below) have or may have had against Defendants or the Releasees (as defined below), through the date on which the Parties sign this Agreement.

Defendants have represented that 465 employees who performed work at the Radisson Blu Aqua Hotel, as defined herein, utilized the Time-Keeping System, as defined herein, between January 15, 2016, and January 10, 2019. Since January 10, 2019, Defendants and their Affiliates have not utilized the Time-Keeping System, as defined below, or any other timekeeping system that implicates the provisions of BIPA.

5. Following arm's length negotiations, the Parties now seek to enter into this Settlement Agreement to settle the Litigation on the terms and conditions set forth herein, in recognition that the outcome of the Litigation is uncertain and that achieving a final result through litigation would require substantial additional risk, discovery, time, and expense.

6. Plaintiff and Class Counsel conducted an investigation into the facts and the law regarding the Litigation and have concluded that a settlement according to the terms set forth below is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and beneficial to and in the best interests of Plaintiff and the Settlement Class, recognizing (1) the existence of contested issues of law and fact, (2) the risks inherent in litigation, (3) the likelihood that future proceedings will be unduly protracted and expensive if the proceeding is not settled by voluntary agreement, (4) the magnitude of the benefits derived from the contemplated settlement in light of both the maximum potential and likely range of recovery to be obtained through further litigation and the expense thereof, as well as the potential of no recovery whatsoever, and (5) the Plaintiff's determination that the settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and will substantially benefit the Settlement Class Members.

7. Thus, in consideration of the covenants, agreements, and releases set forth herein, and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, it is agreed by and among the undersigned that the Litigation be settled and compromised, and that the Releasers release the Releasees of the Released Claims, without costs as to Releasees, Plaintiff, Class Counsel, or the Settlement Class, except as explicitly provided for in this Agreement, subject to the approval of the Court, on the following terms and conditions.

## **II. DEFINITIONS**

The following terms, as used in this Agreement, have the following meanings:

8. “Administrative Expenses” shall mean all fees and expenses associated with the administration of the Settlement by the Settlement Administrator, including but not limited to costs in providing notice of the Settlement to the Settlement Class, communicating with and assisting Settlement Class Members in the notice and disbursement processes, communicating with Class Counsel and Defense Counsel, disbursing Settlement Shares to the proposed Settlement Class Members and any Court-approved Service Award to the Class Representative and any Court-approved Fee Award to Class Counsel, and any related tax or other administrative matters.

9. “Affiliates” means all affiliated entities of Defendants, or any of them, including but not limited to parents, subsidiaries, predecessors, successors, holding companies, or any other entity owned in whole or in part by Defendants, or any of them, but does not include any franchisee of any Radisson entity.

9. “Class Counsel” shall mean Hedin Hall, LLP.

10. “Counsel” or “Counsel for the Parties” means both Class Counsel and Defendants’ Counsel, collectively.

11. “Court” shall mean the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, Chancery Division and the Honorable Michael T. Mullen, the judge presiding over this Litigation.

12. “Defendants” shall mean Radisson Hospitality, Inc., Radisson Hotels International Inc., Radisson Hotels Management Corporation.

13. “Defendants’ Counsel” shall mean Ryan Mick and Trevor Brown of Dorsey & Whitney, LLP and John F. Grady of Grady Bell LLP.

14. “Effective Date” shall mean the date when the Settlement Agreement becomes Final.

15. “Fee and Expense Application” shall mean the motion to be filed by Class Counsel, in which they seek approval of a Fee Award and Service Award.

16. “Fee Award” means the amount of attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of costs and expenses awarded by the Court to Class Counsel.

17. “Final” means the Final Approval Order has been entered on the docket, judgment has been entered, and the time to appeal from such Order has expired and no appeal has been timely filed or, if such an appeal has been filed, it has been finally resolved and has resulted in an affirmation of the Final Approval Order in all material respects.

18. “Final Approval Hearing” means the hearing before the Court where the Plaintiff will request a judgment to be entered by the Court approving the Settlement Agreement, approving the Fee Award to Class Counsel, and approving the Service Award to the Class Representative.

19. “Final Approval Order” shall mean an order entered by the Court that:

- i. Certifies the Settlement Class pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/2-801;
- ii. Finds that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, was entered into in good faith and without collusion, and approves and directs consummation of this Agreement;
- iii. Dismisses the Plaintiff’s and the Settlement Class’s claims pending before it with prejudice and without costs, except as explicitly provided for in this Agreement;
- iv. Approves the Release as set forth herein and orders that, as of the Effective Date, the Released Claims will be released as to Releasees;
- v. Reserves jurisdiction over the Settlement and this Agreement; and
- vi. Finds that, pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/2-1301, there is no just reason for delay of entry of final judgment with respect to the foregoing.

20. “Notice” means the direct notice of this proposed Settlement, which is to be directly provided via U.S. Postal Mail and email (where available) to each Settlement Class Member substantially in the manner set forth in this Agreement and Exhibit A hereto, and is consistent with the requirements of Due Process.

21. “Objection/Exclusion Deadline” means the date by which a written objection to this Settlement Agreement or a request for exclusion submitted by a person within the Settlement Class

must be postmarked and/or filed with the Court, which shall be designated as a date approximately sixty (60) days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, or such other date as ordered by the Court.

22. “Parties” shall mean collectively Defendants and Plaintiff.

23. “Plaintiff” or “Class Representative” shall mean the named plaintiff in the Litigation, Anthony Smith.

24. “Preliminary Approval Order” shall mean the Court’s Order preliminarily approving the Settlement Agreement, certifying the Settlement Class for settlement purposes, appointing Plaintiff’s counsel as Class Counsel, appointing JND Legal Administration (“JND”) as the Settlement Administrator, and directing the Settlement Administrator to disseminate the Notice to the Settlement Class substantially in the form set forth in this Agreement.

25. “Radisson Blu Aqua Hotel” shall mean the Radisson Blu branded hotel located at 221 North Columbus Drive, Chicago, Illinois, 60601.

26. “Released Claims” shall mean any and all claims against Defendants or the Releasees asserted in the Litigation, or which could have been asserted in the Litigation, or otherwise arising out of or related to the alleged capture, collection, storage, possession, transmission, conversion, disclosure, and/or other use of biometric identifiers and/or biometric information in connection with the Time-Keeping System, as well as any and all claims against Releasees regarding the development, distribution or use of a written policy establishing a retention schedule and guidelines for permanently destroying biometric identifiers and/or biometric information, including but not limited to any and all claims brought under, or which may be brought under, 740 ILCS § 14/10, *et seq.* (“BIPA”), and any and all claims for damages, statutory damages or penalties related thereto.

27. “Releasees” shall mean Defendants and their past and present parents, predecessors, successors, Affiliates, holding companies, brands, and subsidiaries, and their respective employees, agents, board members, directors, shareholders, members, officers, and assigns.

28. “Releasers” shall mean Plaintiff and Settlement Class Members, and each of their respective predecessors, successors, heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns, and anyone claiming by, through, or on behalf of any of them.

29. “Service Award” shall mean the amount of money awarded by the Court to the Class Representative for undertaking the responsibility of prosecuting and resolving this Litigation on behalf of the Settlement Class.

30. “Settlement Administrator” means, subject to Court approval, JND, the entity mutually selected and supervised by the Parties to administer the Settlement.

31. “Settlement Class” shall mean “All individuals who used the Time-Keeping System at the Radisson Blu Aqua Hotel between January 15, 2016 and the date of the Court’s order preliminarily approving the settlement.”

32. “Settlement Class Member” shall mean each member of the Settlement Class, as defined herein, who does not timely elect to be excluded from the Settlement Class, including Plaintiff, who agrees by his signature below that he will not exclude himself from the Settlement Class or object to the Settlement.

33. “Settlement Fund” means a non-reversionary cash settlement fund to be established by the Settlement Administrator in the amount of four-hundred and sixty-five thousand dollars and 00/100 cents (\$465,000.00), which shall be used to pay any Court-approved Service Award, any Court-approved Fee Award, the Administrative Expenses and all Settlement Shares. *Under no circumstances will Defendants or the Releasees have any obligation to make any payment associated with the Litigation or this Settlement in excess of the total gross amount of \$465,000.00.*

34. “Settlement Share” shall mean a Settlement Class Member’s pro-rata share of the Settlement Fund after payment of (i) any Court-approved Service Award to the Class Representative; (ii) any Court-approved Fee Award to Class Counsel; and (iii) the Administrative Expenses to the Settlement Administrator. A Settlement Class Member’s Settlement Share shall not be utilized to calculate any additional benefits under any benefit plans to which any Settlement Class Members may be eligible. Rather, it is the Parties’ intention that this Settlement will not



affect any rights, contributions, or amounts to which any Settlement Class Members may be entitled under any benefit plans or otherwise. If required by IRS regulations, the Settlement Administrator shall issue to each Settlement Class Participant an IRS Form 1099. Other than the reporting requirements herein, Settlement Class Participants shall be solely responsible for the reporting and payment of their share of any federal, state and/or local income or other taxes on payments received pursuant to this Settlement Agreement and shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Settlement Administrator and the Parties on account of their failure to do so.

35. “Settlement Website” means a website established and administered by the Settlement Administrator, which shall contain information about the Settlement for Settlement Class Members to review, including electronic copies of the Settlement Agreement and all exhibits thereto, all Court orders and other documents related to the Settlement, and the Fee and Expense Application filed by Class Counsel. The Settlement Website shall also contain a web-based form for Settlement Class Members to submit change-of-address requests, and to request that payment of a Settlement Share be made electronically to an electronic wallet acceptable to the Settlement Administrator. The URL of the Settlement Website shall be [www.RadissonBluBiometricsSettlement.com](http://www.RadissonBluBiometricsSettlement.com).

36. “Time-Keeping System” shall mean any time-keeping technology used at any time from January 15, 2016 through the date of entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, that utilized scans of Plaintiff’s and the other Settlement Class Members’ fingers and/or hands, or portions thereof, in connection with recording the times at which they clocked in and out for timekeeping purposes at the Radisson Blu Aqua Hotel.

### **III. SETTLEMENT CLASS CERTIFICATION**

37. For the purposes of the Settlement only, the Parties stipulate and agree that: (1) the Class shall be certified in accordance with the definition contained herein; (2) Plaintiff shall represent the Class for settlement purposes and shall be the Class Representative; and (3) Plaintiff's Counsel shall be appointed as Class Counsel.

38. Defendants do not consent to certification of a class for any purpose other than to effectuate the Settlement. If the Court does not enter Final Approval of the Settlement, or if for any other reason final approval of the Settlement does not occur, is successfully objected to, or is successfully challenged on appeal, any certification of the Settlement Class will be vacated and the Parties will be returned to their positions with respect to the Action as if the Agreement had not been entered into. In the event that Final Approval of the Settlement is not achieved: (a) any Court orders preliminarily or finally approving the certification of any class contemplated by this Agreement shall be null, void, and vacated, and shall not be used or cited thereafter by any person or entity; and (b) the fact of the settlement reflected in this Agreement, that Defendants did not oppose the certification of a Class under this Agreement, or that the Court preliminarily approved the certification of a Class, shall not be used or cited thereafter by any person or entity, including in any manner whatsoever, including without limitation any contested proceeding relating to the certification of any class.

39. Subject to Court approval, the following Settlement Class shall be certified for settlement purposes: "All individuals who used the Time-Keeping System at the Radisson Blu Aqua Hotel between January 15, 2016 and the date of entry of the Court's order preliminarily approving the Settlement."

40. Excluded from the Settlement Class are all persons who elect to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class, the Court and staff to whom this case is assigned, and any member of the Court's or staff's immediate family.

41. If for any reason the Settlement is not granted preliminary and/or final approval, Defendants' agreement to certification of the Settlement Class shall not be used for any purpose, including in any request for class certification in the Litigation or any other proceeding.

#### **IV. SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION AND ALL CLAIMS AGAINST RELEASEES**

42. Final approval of this Settlement Agreement will settle and resolve with finality, on behalf of the Plaintiff and the Settlement Class, the Litigation and any Released Claims the Releasers have or may have against the Releasees.

#### **V. SETTLEMENT FUND**

##### **43. Establishment of Settlement Fund**

a. Within sixty (60) days of the entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, Defendants shall pay to the Settlement Administrator the total sum of four hundred sixty-five thousand dollars (\$465,000.00) to establish the Settlement Fund. Provided that this Agreement is finally approved by the Court without material change, material amendment, or material modification, the Settlement Fund will be used to pay a Service Award to the Class Representative, a Fee Award to Class Counsel, the Administrative Expenses to the Settlement Administrator, and Settlement Shares to Settlement Class Members in exchange for the release and the covenants set forth in this Agreement and the dismissal of the Litigation with prejudice.

b. The Settlement Fund provided by Defendants to the Settlement Administrator will be maintained as a Court-approved Qualified Settlement Fund ("QSF") pursuant to Section 1.468B-1 et seq. of the Treasury Regulations promulgated under Section 468B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and shall be deposited in an interest-bearing account. The QSF shall be controlled by the Settlement Administrator for the purposes of completing the Settlement, subject to the terms of the Parties' Agreement and the Court's orders. The Settlement Administrator will maintain the QSF at a federally-insured bank

that is mutually acceptable to the parties and will not permit any other funds to be co-mingled within the QSF. In no event shall there be any distribution from the QSF until after the Effective Date and all conditions precedent specified in this Agreement have been completely satisfied.

c. If the Settlement Agreement is not finally approved, for any reason, the Settlement Fund belongs to and shall be repaid to Defendants, less any expenses incurred by the Settlement Administrator to date.

d. The Settlement Fund shall be used to pay (i) all Settlement Shares to Settlement Class Members; (ii) a Service Award to the Class Representative; (iii) the Fee Award to Class Counsel; and (iv) Administrative Expenses to the Settlement Administrator.

f. The Settlement Fund represents the total extent of the Defendants' monetary obligations under the Settlement Agreement or otherwise in connection with the Litigation. Defendants' contribution to the Settlement Fund shall be fixed under this Section and be final. Defendants shall have no obligation to make further payments into the Settlement Fund, and shall have no financial responsibility or obligation relating to the Settlement beyond the Settlement Fund.

g. The Court may require changes to the method of allocation to Settlement Class Members without invalidating this Settlement Agreement, provided that the other material terms of the Settlement Agreement are not altered, including but not limited to the scope of the Release, the scope of the Settlement Class, and the amount of the Settlement Fund.

44. Each Settlement Class Member who does not timely file a request for exclusion from the Settlement Class shall automatically be sent a *pro rata* share of the amount remaining in the Settlement Fund after payment of the Administrative Expenses to the Settlement Administrator, any approved Fee Award to Class Counsel, and any approved Service Award to the

Class Representative. Under no circumstances will a Settlement Class Member be required to file a claim form in order to receive a *pro rata* share of the Settlement Fund.

45. Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Settlement

a. Plaintiff will file an unopposed motion for an order conditionally certifying the Settlement Class, appointing Plaintiff's counsel as Class Counsel, appointing Plaintiff as Class Representative, appointing JND as Settlement Administrator, giving Preliminary Approval of the Settlement, setting a date for the Final Approval Hearing, and approving the Notice and directing its dissemination by the Settlement Administrator (the "Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval") according to the terms of this Agreement.

b. At the hearing on the Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval, the Parties will jointly appear and support the granting of the Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval. Plaintiff will submit a proposed order granting conditional certification of the Class and preliminary approval of the Settlement; appointing the Class Representative and Class Counsel; approving the form of notice to the Class of the Settlement; and setting the Final Approval Hearing, the form of which is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

c. For the purposes of the Settlement and the proceedings contemplated herein only, the Parties stipulate and agree that the Settlement Class shall be conditionally certified in accordance with the definition contained above, that Plaintiff shall be conditionally appointed Class Representative for the Class, and that Plaintiff's counsel shall be conditionally appointed as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class. Should the Court decline to preliminarily approve any material aspect of the Settlement, the Settlement will be null and void, the Parties will have no further obligations under it, and the Parties will revert to the status quo *ex ante* in the Litigation as if the Settlement had not occurred.

46. Payment of Settlement Shares to Settlement Class Members

- a. Each Settlement Class Member who does not file a timely request for exclusion from the Settlement will automatically receive a Settlement Share by check sent to his or her postal address, unless a request is made on the Settlement Website for payment to be made electronically via PayPal or another digital wallet acceptable to the Settlement Administrator, in which case the funds will be sent to the Settlement Class Member electronically using the instructions they provide on the Settlement Website.
- b. The Settlement Administrator shall pay all Settlement Shares to Settlement Class Members (except for those who have excluded themselves from the Settlement) within thirty (30) days after the Settlement becomes Final.
- c. In the event that a Settlement Class Member's check is returned as undeliverable, the Settlement Administrator shall take reasonable measures to locate an alternative, up-to-date postal address for that Settlement Class Member, and shall redeliver such Settlement Class Member's check to the alternative postal address.
- d. In the event that checks sent to Settlement Class Members are not cashed within ninety (90) days after their date of issuance, whether because the checks were not received or otherwise, those checks will become null and void. The amount of the uncashed checks after the expiration date, less any funds necessary for settlement administration, will be distributed to a *cy pres* recipient(s) selected by the Parties and approved by the Court, unless otherwise required by law. The Court may revise this *cy pres* provision as necessary without terminating or otherwise impacting this Settlement Agreement, provided the Court's revision does not increase the amount that Defendants would otherwise pay under this Settlement Agreement. The failure of a Settlement Class Member to cash a settlement check, for any reason, shall not affect the validity or enforceability of that Settlement Class Member's release of Released Claims under this Agreement.

- e. The Settlement Administrator shall notify the Parties that all Settlement Shares have been paid within five (5) business days of the last such payment.

## **VI. PROSPECTIVE RELIEF**

47. As a material term of this Settlement Agreement, Defendants represent that Defendants and their Affiliates are no longer using the Time-Keeping System in Illinois, and have not done so since January 10, 2019. Defendants further represent that they directed their timekeeping vendor to irretrievably destroy any and all data that might be considered “biometric information” or “biometric identifiers” for all current and former employees of the Radisson Blu Aqua Hotel in January 2019; Defendant’s vendor represented that it had done so on January 10, 2019; and to the best of Defendants’ knowledge, they do not have possession, custody or control, directly or indirectly, of any biometric information and/or biometric identifiers for any Settlement Class Member, any current or former employees of the Radisson Blu Aqua Hotel or any other individuals who have not signed BIPA-compliant consent documents. In the event Defendants elect in the future to utilize a timekeeping system that is subject to the provisions of BIPA, Defendants will implement procedures and systems to comply with BIPA.

## **VII. RELEASE**

48. Upon Final Approval of this Agreement, and for the valuable consideration as described herein, Releasees shall be fully, finally and completely released, acquitted, and forever discharged from any liability to the Releasers for any and all Released Claims.

49. As of the Effective Date, and with the approval of the Court, all Releasers hereby fully, finally, and forever release, waive, discharge, surrender, forego, give up, abandon, and cancel any and all Released Claims against Releasees. As of the Effective Date, all Releasers will be forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting any action against the Releasees asserting any and/or all Released Claims.

## **VIII. PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER AND FINAL APPROVAL ORDER**

50. This Settlement shall be subject to approval of the Court.

51. Plaintiff, through Class Counsel, shall submit this Agreement, together with its exhibits, to the Court and shall move the Court for Preliminary Approval of the settlement set forth in this Agreement, certification of the Settlement Class, appointment of Class Counsel and the Class Representative, appointment of JND as Settlement Administrator, and entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, substantially in the form of Exhibit B hereto, which order shall seek a Final Approval Hearing date and approve the Notice for dissemination in accordance with this Agreement. Plaintiff's counsel represents that a courtesy copy of the draft Motion for Preliminary Approval and all supporting documents will be provided to Defendant's counsel reasonably in advance of the hearing for Defendants review and comment. Defendants shall not oppose Plaintiff's motion for preliminary approval of the Settlement, and shall cooperate with Plaintiff, through Class Counsel, to take steps reasonably necessary to secure preliminary approval of the Settlement.

52. At the time of the submission of this Settlement Agreement to the Court as described above, the Parties shall request that, after Notice is given, the Court hold a Final Approval Hearing approximately ninety (90) days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order and approve the Settlement of the Litigation as set forth herein.

53. At least fourteen (14) days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, or by some other date if so directed by the Court, Plaintiff will move for (i) final approval of the Settlement; (ii) final appointment of the Class Representative and Class Counsel; and (iii) final certification of the Settlement Class, including for the entry of a Final Order and Judgment identical in all material respects to the proposed Final Order and Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit C, and file a memorandum in support of the motion for final approval. Plaintiff's counsel represents that a courtesy copy of the draft Motion for Final Approval of Settlement and all supporting documents will be provided to Defendant's counsel reasonably in advance of the hearing for Defendants review and comment. Defendants shall not oppose Plaintiff's motion for final approval of the Settlement, and shall cooperate with Plaintiff, through Class Counsel, to take steps reasonably necessary to secure final approval of the Settlement.



## **IX. NOTICE TO PROPOSED SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBERS**

54. Prior to the execution of this Settlement Agreement, the Parties jointly selected JND to serve as Settlement Administrator, subject to Court Approval.

55. Class List

a. Defendants shall create a class list (the “Class List”).

b. The Class List shall include the names, e-mail addresses (if available), and last known mailing addresses of all putative Settlement Class Members. Defendants shall provide the Class List to the Settlement Administrator, with an anonymized copy to Class Counsel, within ten (10) days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order. Except as set forth in this Section, the Settlement Administrator shall maintain all data that Defendants may provide as confidential, and shall not share the data with any person or entity, including Class Counsel, except as Defendants may agree or as may be ordered by the Court.

56. Type of Notice Required

a. The Notice, which shall be substantially in the form of Exhibit A hereto, shall be used for the purpose of informing proposed Settlement Class Members, prior to the Final Approval Hearing, that there is a pending settlement, and to further (a) inform Settlement Class Members as to the terms of the Settlement and their rights under it; (b) request exclusion from the Settlement Class and the proposed Settlement, if desired; (c) object to any aspect of the proposed settlement, if desired; (d) submit change-of-address or electronic payment requests on the Settlement Website; and (e) participate in the Final Approval Hearing, if desired. The Notice shall make clear that Settlement Shares will be sent automatically to each Settlement Class Member who does not file a request for exclusion from the Settlement, the amount of the requested Service Award and Fee Award, and the binding effect of the Settlement and release of Released Claims on all persons who do not timely request exclusion from the Settlement Class.

b. Dissemination of the Notice shall occur directly via U.S. Postal Mail and e-mail (where available) to each Settlement Class Member, and shall be the responsibility of the Settlement Administrator. Prior to dissemination of the Notice via U.S. Postal Mail, the Settlement Administrator shall take reasonable measures (including utilizing the National Change of Address directory and any other proprietary or public databases or systems) to confirm that the postal address for each Settlement Class Member on the Class List is the correct, current address for that Settlement Class Member, and shall update any outdated addresses on the Class List with the current addresses it locates. The text of the Notice shall be agreed upon by the Parties and shall be substantially in the form attached as Exhibit A hereto.

c. Notice of the Settlement shall also be posted on the Settlement Website.

d. In the event that a Settlement Class Member's Notice is returned as undeliverable, the Settlement Administrator shall take reasonably available additional measures to locate a correct postal address for that Settlement Class Member, and shall redeliver such Settlement Class Member's Notice to the alternative postal address. The Settlement Administrator's attempts to redeliver notice shall not affect the Objection/Exclusion Deadline, which shall run from the date of the Court's entry of the Preliminary Approval Order.

57. Notice Deadline. Within fourteen (14) days of entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, the Settlement Administrator shall disseminate by U.S. Postal Mail and e-mail (where available) the Notice to all Settlement Class Members on the Class List.

## **X. EXCLUSIONS**

58. Exclusion Period. Settlement Class Members will have up to and including sixty (60) days following the entry of the Preliminary Approval Order to exclude themselves from the Settlement in accordance with this Section. If the Settlement is finally approved by the Court, all Settlement Class Members who have not submitted timely requests for exclusion from the Settlement by the end of the Objection/Exclusion Deadline will be bound by the Settlement and

will be deemed a Releasor as defined herein, and the relief provided by the Settlement will be their sole and exclusive remedy for the claims alleged by the Settlement Class.

59. Exclusion Process

a. A member of the Settlement Class may request to be excluded from the Settlement Class in writing by a request postmarked on or before the Objection/Exclusion Deadline approved by the Court and specified in the Notice.

b. In order to exercise the right to be excluded, a member of the Settlement Class must timely send a written request for exclusion providing his/her name, address, and telephone number; the name and number of this case, a statement that he/she wishes to be excluded from the Settlement Class, and a signature. A request to be excluded that is sent to an address other than that designated in the Notice, or that is not postmarked within the time specified, shall be invalid and the person submitting such a request shall be considered member of the Settlement Class and shall be bound as a Settlement Class Member by the Agreement, if approved.

c. Any member of the Settlement Class who timely elects to be excluded shall not: (i) be bound by any order related to this Settlement or the Judgment; (ii) be entitled to any payment or benefit under this Settlement Agreement; (iii) gain any rights by virtue of this Settlement Agreement; or (iv) be entitled to object to any aspect of the Settlement or this Settlement Agreement. A member of the Settlement Class who requests to be excluded from the Settlement Class also cannot object to the Settlement.

d. The request for exclusion must be personally signed by the person requesting exclusion. So called “mass” or “class” exclusion requests shall not be allowed.

e. Within three (3) business days after the Objection/Exclusion Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall provide Class Counsel and Defendants’ Counsel a written list reflecting all timely and valid exclusions from the Settlement Class.

f. A list reflecting all individuals who timely and validly excluded themselves from the Settlement shall also be filed with the Court at the time of the motion for final approval of the settlement.

g. The Settlement Administrator shall provide Defendants' counsel and Class Counsel a weekly report that certifies the number of Settlement Class Members who have submitted valid Requests for Exclusion and Notices of Objection. Additionally, the Settlement Administrator will provide to counsel for both Parties any updated reports regarding the administration of the Settlement as needed or reasonably requested.

## **XI. OBJECTIONS**

60. The Notice shall also advise Settlement Class Members of their right to object to the Settlement Agreement and its terms. The Notices shall specify that any objection to this Settlement Agreement, and any papers submitted in support of said objection, shall be received by the Court at the Final Approval Hearing, only if, on or before the Objection/Exclusion Deadline approved by the Court, the person making an objection (or his or her counsel) shall file notice of his/her intention to do so and at the same time: (i) file copies of such papers he/she proposed to submit at the Final Approval Hearing with the Clerk of the Court;; and (ii) send copies of such papers via United States mail, hand delivery, or overnight delivery to both Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel. A copy of the objection must also be mailed to the Settlement Administrator at the address that the Settlement Administrator will establish to receive requests for exclusion or objections and any other communication relating to this Settlement.

61. Any Settlement Class Member who intends to object to this Settlement must include in any such objection: (i) his/her full name, address and current telephone number; (ii) the case name and number of this Litigation; (iii) the date range during which he/she was employed at the Radisson Blu Aqua Hotel; (iv) all grounds for the objection, with factual and legal support for the stated objection, including any supporting materials; and (v) the objector's signature. If represented by counsel, the objecting Settlement Class Member must also provide the name and telephone number of his/her counsel. If the objecting Settlement Class Member intends to appear

at the Final Approval Hearing, either with or without counsel, he/she must state as such in the written objection, and must also identify any witnesses he/she may call to testify at the Final Approval Hearing and all exhibits he/she intends to introduce into evidence at the Final Approval Hearing, which must also be attached to, or included with, the written objection.

62. Any Settlement Class Member who fails to timely file and serve a written objection and notice of intent to appear at the Final Approval Hearing pursuant to this Agreement shall not be permitted to object to the approval of the Settlement at the Final Approval Hearing and shall be foreclosed from seeking any review of the Settlement or the terms of the Agreement by appeal or other means.

## **XII. FINAL APPROVAL HEARING**

63. The Parties will jointly request that the Court hold a Final Approval Hearing. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Parties will request that the Court consider whether the Settlement Class should be certified as a class pursuant to 735 ILCS § 5/2-801 for settlement and, if so, (i) consider any properly-filed objections, (ii) determine whether the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate, was entered into in good faith and without collusion, and should be approved, and shall provide findings in connections therewith, and (iii) enter the Final Approval Order, including final approval of the Settlement Class and the Settlement Agreement, a Fee Award, and a Service Award.

## **XIII. FINAL APPROVAL ORDER**

64. The Parties shall jointly submit to the Court a proposed Final Approval Order, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit C, that, without limitation:

a. Approves finally this Agreement and its terms as being a fair, reasonable, and adequate settlement as to the Settlement Class Members within the meaning of 735 ILCS 5/2-801 and directing its consummation according to its terms;

b. Dismisses, with prejudice, all claims of Plaintiff and the Settlement Class against Defendants in the Litigation, without costs and fees except as explicitly provided for in this Agreement; and

c. Reserves continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over the settlement and this Agreement, including but not limited to the Litigation, the Settlement Class, the Settlement Class Members, Defendants, and the Settlement for the purposes of administering, consummating, supervising, construing and enforcing the Settlement Agreement and the Settlement Fund.

#### **XIV. TERMINATION OF THE SETTLEMENT**

65. The Settlement is conditioned upon preliminary and final approval of the Parties' written Settlement Agreement, and all terms and conditions thereof, without material change, material amendments, or material modifications by the Court (except to the extent such changes, amendments or modifications are agreed to in writing between the Parties). All Exhibits attached hereto are incorporated into this Settlement Agreement as if set forth fully herein and are a material and integral part of the Settlement. Accordingly, either Party may elect to (but is not required to) terminate and cancel this Settlement Agreement within ten (10) days of any of the following events:

- a. This Settlement Agreement is changed in any material respect (except where otherwise agreed to by the Parties in writing).
- b. The Court refuses to grant Preliminary Approval of the Settlement in any material respect;
- c. The Court refuses to grant Final Approval of the Settlement in any material respect;  
or
- d. The Court refuses to enter a final judgment in this Litigation pursuant to the terms of this Settlement Agreement.

66. The Settlement Agreement may also be terminated and cancelled, at the sole and exclusive discretion of Defendants, if more than 5% of the Settlement Class Members timely and validly exclude themselves from the Settlement. In order to terminate and cancel this Settlement Agreement pursuant to this provision, Defendant must provide Class Counsel written notice of its

intent to terminate and cancel the Settlement Agreement within ten (10) days of the Objection/Exclusion Deadline. Defendant shall not communicate, or cause third parties to communicate, with Settlement Class Members for the purpose of inducing requests for exclusion.

67. In the event the Settlement Agreement is not approved in any material respect or does not become final, for any reason, or is otherwise terminated consistent with this Settlement Agreement, then (a) no payments shall be due or made by or on behalf of Defendants, (b) the parties, pleadings, and proceedings will return to the status quo *ex ante* as if no settlement had been negotiated or entered into, (c) no party shall be deemed to have waived any claims, objections, rights or defenses, or legal arguments or positions, including, but not limited to, objections to certification of a class and claims and defenses on the merits, and documents generated or orders issued related to the Settlement will not be admissible in any future proceeding in this or any other action; and (d) the Parties will negotiate in good faith to establish a new schedule for the Litigation.

#### **XV. ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES AND SERVICE AWARD**

68. At least twenty-one (21) days prior to the Objection/Exclusion deadline, Class Counsel will move the Court for Fee Award not to exceed 35% of the Settlement Fund, or one hundred sixty-two thousand, seven hundred fifty dollars (\$162,750.00), inclusive of all litigation costs and expenses, which shall be paid exclusively from the Settlement Fund. The Fee Award shall include all work by Plaintiff's Counsel or any other attorney related to, and all costs and expenses incurred in connection with, the Litigation and their representation of Plaintiff in the Litigation, including, without limitation: (a) all work already performed related to the investigation, prosecution and settlement of the Litigation; (b) all work to be performed in fully and finally resolving the Litigation; and (c) all work in connection with administering the settlement. The Settlement Administrator will comply with all tax requirements related to the payment of the Fee Award.

69. Defendants agree not to oppose Class Counsel's application for the Fee Award. Class Counsel, in turn, agree not to seek or accept attorneys' fees or litigation costs in excess of the Fee Award.

70. Notwithstanding any contrary provision of this Agreement, the Court's consideration of the Fee Award is to be conducted separately from the Court's consideration of the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement Agreement, and any award made by the Court with respect to Class Counsel's attorneys' fees or expenses, or any proceedings incident thereto, including any appeal thereof, shall not operate to terminate or cancel this Agreement or be deemed material thereto.

71. Within three (3) business days after the Effective Date, the Settlement Administrator shall pay to Class Counsel from the Settlement Fund the amount awarded by the Court in the Fee Award. Any payment of the Fee Award shall be paid via electronic wire transfer to an account designated by Class Counsel.

72. Class Counsel shall provide the Settlement Administrator with its completed W-9 before the payment of the Fee Award is due.

73. Prior to or at the same time as Plaintiff seeks final approval of the Settlement Agreement, Class Counsel shall move the Court for a Service Award for the Class Representative in an amount not to exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00), and Defendants agrees that they will not oppose such a request. The Service Award shall be paid solely from the Settlement Fund by check written by the Settlement Administrator within seven (7) days of the Effective Date. The Settlement Administrator shall issue an IRS Form 1099 to Plaintiff for the Service Award as required by applicable law.

74. In no event will Defendants' liability under this Agreement or otherwise related to this Settlement, including but not limited to attorney's fees, expenses, and costs, settlement administration costs, and/or a Service Award, exceed their funding obligations set forth in Paragraph 33 of this Agreement. Defendants shall have no financial responsibility for this Settlement outside of the Settlement Fund. Defendants shall have no further obligation for attorneys' fees or expenses to any counsel representing or working on behalf of Plaintiff or any one or more individual Settlement Class Members or the Settlement Class. Defendants will have no responsibility, obligation or liability for allocation of fees and expenses among Class Counsel.



## **XVI. MISCELLANEOUS REPRESENTATIONS**

75. The Parties agree that the Settlement Agreement provides fair, equitable and just compensation, and a fair, equitable, and just process for compensating, Settlement Class Members for the Released Claims.

76. Defendants make no representation as to the tax treatment or legal effect of the payments called for hereunder, and Plaintiff and Settlement Class Members are not relying on any statement, representation, or calculation by Defendants or by the Settlement Administrator in this regard. Plaintiff and Settlement Class Members understand and agree that they will be solely responsible for the payment of any taxes and penalties assessed on their respective payments described in this Agreement, and will defend, indemnify, and hold Defendants and the Releasees free and harmless from and against any claims resulting from the tax treatment of such payments by Plaintiff and/or Settlement Class Members.

77. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel acknowledge and agree that all documents produced by Defendants in the course of the Litigation have been and will continue to be treated as "Attorneys' Eyes Only" and will not be disclosed to any person other than Plaintiff's Counsel and Plaintiff's Counsel's authorized staff, and will not be used for any purpose other than the Litigation. On or before the Effective Date Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel will represent, in writing, to Defendants' counsel that all documents or data produced to Plaintiff' Counsel by Defendants in the Litigation, and all copies thereof, shall be destroyed.

78. The Parties acknowledge that it is their intent to consummate this Settlement Agreement, and agree, subject to their fiduciary and other legal obligations, to cooperate to the extent reasonably necessary to effectuate and implement all terms and conditions of this Agreement and to exercise their reasonable best efforts to accomplish the foregoing terms and conditions of this Agreement. Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel agree to cooperate with each other in seeking Court approval of the Preliminary Approval Order, the Settlement Agreement, and the Final Approval Order, and promptly to agree upon and execute all such other documentation as may be reasonably required to obtain final approval of the Settlement.

79. The Parties intend this Settlement Agreement to be a final and complete resolution of all disputes between them with respect to the Litigation and the Released Claims by Plaintiff and the Settlement Class, and each or any of them, on the one hand, against Defendants and the Releasees, and each or any of the Releasees, on the other hand. Accordingly, the Parties agree not to assert in any forum that the Litigation was brought by Plaintiff or defended by Defendants, or each or any of them, in bad faith or without a reasonable basis.

80. Nothing express or implied in this Agreement is intended or shall be construed to confer upon or give any person or entity other than the Parties, Releasees, and Settlement Class Members any right or remedy under or by reason of this Agreement. Each of the Releasees is an intended third-party beneficiary of this Agreement with respect to the Released Claims and shall have the right and power to enforce the release of the Released Claims in his, her or its favor against all Releasers.

81. The Parties have relied upon the advice and representation of their respective counsel, selected by them, concerning their respective legal liability for the claims hereby released. The Parties have read and understand fully this Settlement Agreement, including its Exhibits, and have been fully advised as to the legal effect thereof by counsel of their own selection and intend to be legally bound by the same.

82. Any headings used herein are used for the purpose of convenience only and are not meant to have legal effect.

83. The waiver by one Party of any breach of this Agreement by any other Party shall not be deemed as a waiver of any prior or subsequent breach of this Agreement.

84. This Agreement and its Exhibits set forth the entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the matters set forth herein, and supersede all prior negotiations, agreements, arrangements and undertakings with respect to the matters set forth herein. No representations, warranties or inducements have been made to any Party concerning this Agreement or its Exhibits other than the representations, warranties and covenants contained and memorialized in such documents.

85. This Agreement may not be amended, modified, altered, or otherwise changed in any manner except by a written instrument signed by or on behalf of all Parties or their respective successors-in-interest.

86. The Parties agree that Exhibits A through C to this Settlement Agreement are material and integral parts thereof and are fully incorporated herein by this reference.

87. The Parties may agree, subject to the approval of the Court where required, to reasonable extensions of time to carry out the provisions of the Agreement.

88. Except as otherwise provided herein, each Party shall bear its own costs.

89. Plaintiff represents and warrants that he has not assigned any claim or right or interest therein as against the Releasees to any other person or party.

90. The Parties represent that they have obtained the requisite authority to enter this Settlement Agreement in a manner that binds all Parties to its terms.

91. The Parties specifically acknowledge, agree and admit that this Settlement Agreement and its Exhibits, along with all related drafts, motions, pleadings, conversations, negotiations, correspondence, orders or other documents shall be considered a compromise within the meaning of Illinois Rules of Evidence Rule 408, and any other equivalent or similar rule of evidence, and shall not (1) constitute, be construed, be offered, or received into evidence as an admission of the validity of any claim or defense, or the truth of any fact alleged or other allegation in the Litigation or in any other pending or subsequently filed action, or of any wrongdoing, fault, violation of law, or liability of any kind on the part of any Party, or (2) be used to establish a waiver of any defense or right, or to establish or contest jurisdiction or venue.

92. The Parties also agree that this Settlement Agreement and its Exhibits, along with all related drafts, motions, pleadings, conversations, negotiations, correspondence, orders or other documents entered in furtherance of this Settlement Agreement, and any acts in the performance of this Settlement Agreement are not intended to establish grounds for certification of any class involving any Settlement Class Member other than for certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes.

93. This Settlement Agreement, whether approved or not approved, revoked, or made ineffective for any reason, and any proceedings related to this Settlement Agreement and any discussions relating thereto shall be inadmissible as evidence of any liability or wrongdoing whatsoever and shall not be offered as evidence of any liability or wrongdoing in any court or other tribunal in any state, territory, or jurisdiction, or in any manner whatsoever. Further, neither this Settlement Agreement, the Settlement contemplated by it, nor any proceedings taken under it, will be construed or offered or received into evidence as an admission, concession or presumption that class certification is appropriate, except to the extent necessary to consummate this Agreement and the binding effect of the Final Order and Judgment.

94. The provisions of this Settlement Agreement, and any orders, pleadings or other documents entered in furtherance of this Settlement Agreement, may be offered or received in evidence solely (1) to enforce the terms and provisions hereof or thereof, (2) as may be specifically authorized by a court of competent jurisdiction after an adversary hearing upon application of a Party hereto, (3) in order to establish payment, or an affirmative defense of preclusion or bar in a subsequent case, (4) in connection with any motion to enjoin, stay or dismiss any other action, or (5) to obtain Court approval of the Settlement Agreement.

95. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts exchanged by hand, messenger, facsimile, or PDF as an electronic mail attachment. All executed counterparts and each of them shall be deemed to be one and the same instrument, provided that counsel for the Parties to this Agreement all exchange signed counterparts.

96. This Agreement shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors and assigns of the Parties hereto and the Releasees.

97. The Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to implementation and enforcement of the terms of this Agreement, and the Parties hereby submit to the jurisdiction of the Court for purposes of implementing and enforcing the settlement embodied in this Agreement.

98. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state of Illinois.

99. This Agreement is deemed to have been prepared by counsel for all Parties as a result of arms-length negotiations among the Parties. Whereas all Parties have contributed substantially and materially to the preparation of this Agreement and its Exhibits, it shall not be construed more strictly against one Party than another.

100. Unless otherwise stated herein, any notice required or provided for under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be sent by electronic mail or hand delivery, postage prepaid, as follows:

If to Class Counsel:

Arun Ravindran  
Hedin Hall, LLP  
1395 Brickell Ave.  
Suite 1140  
Miami, Fl 33131  
Tel: (305) 357-2107  
aravindran@hedinhall.com

If to Defendants' Counsel:

John F. Grady  
Grady Bell, LLP  
53 W. Jackson Blvd., Ste. 1250  
Chicago, Illinois 60604  
312-939-0964  
[jgrady@gradybell.com](mailto:jgrady@gradybell.com)

Ryan Mick  
Trevor Brown  
Dorsey & Whitney, LLP  
Suite 1500, 50 South Sixth Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498  
Telephone: (612) 340-2600  
[mick.ryan@dorsey.com](mailto:mick.ryan@dorsey.com)  
[brown.trevor@dorsey.com](mailto:brown.trevor@dorsey.com)

101. This Agreement shall be deemed executed as of the date that the last party signatory signs the Agreement.

[The remainder of this page is intentionally left blank.]

IN WITNESS HEREOF, the undersigned have caused this Settlement Agreement to be executed as of the dates set forth below.

**Anthony Davis, Class Representative**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Hedin Hall, LLP, Class Counsel**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Radisson Hospitality, Inc.**

DocuSigned by:  
*Tanya Taylor* \_\_\_\_\_  
DC332618668B4F5...

Printed Name: Tanya Taylor \_\_\_\_\_

Its: EVP, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary \_\_\_\_\_

Date: May 4, 2022 \_\_\_\_\_

**Radisson Hotels International Inc.**

DocuSigned by:  
*Tanya Taylor* \_\_\_\_\_  
DC332618668B4F5...

Printed Name: Tanya Taylor \_\_\_\_\_

Its: EVO, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary \_\_\_\_\_

Date: May 4, 2022 \_\_\_\_\_

**Radisson Hotels Management**

DocuSigned by:

*Tanya Taylor*  
DC332616668B4F5...

Printed Name: Tanya Taylor

Its: EVP, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary

Date: May 4, 2022

**Dorsey & Whitney LLP, Defendants'  
Counsel**

DocuSigned by:

*Ryan E. Mick*  
C3492755CD424C4...

Print Name: Ryan E. Mick

Date: May 4, 2022

IN WITNESS HEREOF, the undersigned have caused this Settlement Agreement to be executed as of the dates set forth below.

**Anthony Smith, Class Representative**

Anthony Smith  
\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Hedin Hall, LLP, Class Counsel**

*Arun Ravindran*  
\_\_\_\_\_

Print Name:     Arun Ravindran    

Date:     May 5, 2022    

**Radisson Hospitality, Inc.**

\_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Its: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Radisson Hotels International Inc.**

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Its: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_



**Radisson Hotels Management Corporation**

\_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Its: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Dorsey & Whitney LLP, Defendants' Counsel**

\_\_\_\_\_

Print Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Signature:**  \_\_\_\_\_  
Anthony Smith (May 5, 2022 13:00 CDT)

**Email:** anthonymsmith1227@gmail.com






# Radisson BIPA Settlement Agreement - Execution Copy

Final Audit Report

2022-05-05

Created:	2022-05-05
By:	Arun Ravindran (aravindran@hedinhall.com)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAA-Oo3-JJVQELDgUZZE9JkkhjrXM0g2Pb4

## "Radisson BIPA Settlement Agreement - Execution Copy" History

-  Document created by Arun Ravindran (aravindran@hedinhall.com)  
2022-05-05 - 4:06:53 PM GMT
-  Document emailed to Anthony Smith (anthonymsmith1227@gmail.com) for signature  
2022-05-05 - 4:07:28 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by Anthony Smith (anthonymsmith1227@gmail.com)  
2022-05-05 - 5:56:04 PM GMT
-  Document e-signed by Anthony Smith (anthonymsmith1227@gmail.com)  
Signature Date: 2022-05-05 - 6:00:54 PM GMT - Time Source: server
-  Agreement completed.  
2022-05-05 - 6:00:54 PM GMT

# **EXHIBIT 2**

# HEDIN HALL LLP

## FIRM RESUME

1. With offices in San Francisco, California and Miami, Florida, Hedin Hall LLP represents consumers and shareholders in data-privacy, financial services, and securities class actions in state and federal courts nationwide.

2. We prosecute difficult cases aimed at redressing injuries suffered by large, diverse groups of people, many of which implicate cutting-edge technologies and issues of national significance. Our work has led to meaningful, industry-wide changes for the betterment of society and, over the past nine years alone, has contributed to the recovery of over \$1 billion for the aggrieved consumers and investors we have had the privilege to represent. Representative examples of our work include:

- *City of Sterling Heights General Employees' Retirement System v. Prudential Financial, Inc.* (D. N.J.) (\$33 million settlement for class of aggrieved investors);
- *Louisiana Municipal Police Employees' Pension Fund v. KPMG, LLP, et al.* (N.D. Ohio) (\$32.6 million settlement for class of aggrieved investors);
- *Cyan v. Beaver County Employees Retirement Fund*, (U.S. Supreme Court) (9-0 victory for plaintiffs on issues of first impression related to concurrent jurisdiction, dual sovereignty, the Supremacy Clause, PSLRA, SLUSA, and the Securities Act removal bar)
- *Wiley v. Envivio, Inc., et al.* (Cal. Sup. Ct., San Mateo Cnty.) (\$8.5 million settlement for class of aggrieved investors);
- *In re MobileIron Shareholder Litig.* (Cal. Sup. Ct., Santa Clara Cnty.) (\$7.5 million settlement for class of aggrieved investors);
- *In re Model N Shareholder Litig.* (Cal. Sup. Ct., San Mateo Cnty.) (\$8.55 million settlement for aggrieved class of investors);
- *Xiang v. Inovalon Holdings, Inc., et al.* (S.D.N.Y.) (\$17 million settlement for aggrieved class of investors);
- *Buelow v. Alibaba Group Holding Ltd., et al.* (Cal. Sup. Ct., San Mateo Cnty.) (\$75 million settlement for aggrieved class of investors).

3. Our founding partners, Frank S. Hedin and David W. Hall, have significant experience representing nationwide groups of people in disputes concerning shareholder rights, data privacy, and consumer protection. All of the firm attorneys and support staff are committed to representing

# HEDIN HALL LLP

everyday people in complex class action litigation. Our shareholder rights practice, in particular, runs the gamut, from historic securities fraud class actions to pioneering recoveries in the wake of botched IPOs to the still emerging threat of crypto-currency fraud. We stay ahead of the curve by eschewing the assembly line approach of other firms. Fresh eyes and an open mind give us an edge, and it pays off for the individual and institutional investors we represent. Over the past 5 years alone, our attorneys have recovered over \$500 million for aggrieved investors.

4. Frank S. Hedin, co-founder of the firm, manages the Miami office. He is a member in good standing of the Florida Bar and the State Bar of California and is admitted to practice in numerous federal district courts and circuit courts of appeals. Mr. Hedin received a Bachelor of Arts from University of Michigan, and a Juris Doctor, *magna cum laude*, from Syracuse University College of Law. After law school, Mr. Hedin served for fifteen months as law clerk to the Honorable William Q. Hayes, United States District Judge for the Southern District of California, one of the heaviest class action dockets in the country. Prior to establishing Hedin Hall LLP, Mr. Hedin was a partner at a notable litigation boutique in Miami, Florida, where he represented both plaintiffs and defendants in consumer and data-privacy class actions, employment-related collective actions, and patent and trademark litigation, and served as head of the firm's class action practice.

5. David W. Hall is a founding partner of Hedin Hall LLP. He manages the firm's San Francisco office. Before founding Hedin Hall LLP, Mr. Hall litigated cases for the largest plaintiffs' firm in the United States, where he developed, *inter alia*, state court Securities Act and data privacy class action practices. Earlier in his legal career, he was privileged to serve as a judicial law clerk to the Honorable Irma E. Gonzalez in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, one of the heaviest class action dockets in the country. His responsibilities included civil and criminal trial dockets as well as panels of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Mr. Hall is a graduate of the University of California, Hastings College of the Law, *cum laude*, and the New England Conservatory of Music. At Hastings, he received a number of writing, examination, and

# HEDIN HALL LLP

Moot Court competition awards, served as a Staff Editor of the Hastings Business Law Journal, worked as a teaching assistant in the Legal Writing & Research Department, and served as extern to the Honorable Joyce L. Kennard of the California Supreme Court.

6. Armen Zohrabian's practice includes complex class action litigation including securities, antitrust and data privacy matters. Between 2012 and 2021, he worked on securities and antitrust matters in the San Francisco office of a prominent plaintiff-side class action firm where he helped achieve \$229.5 million in settlements. Before joining the plaintiff's bar, he worked as an associate in the San Francisco office of a large international law firm, where his practice focused on complex commercial litigation, and where he represented several *pro bono* clients in parole hearings and in asylum applications. He graduated with honors from Wake Forest University with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Politics and Economics. He earned his Juris Doctor degree from the University of California at Berkeley School of Law, Boalt Hall, with a Certificate in Law and Technology. During law school, Armen was a member of the *Berkeley Technology Law Journal*, worked as a law clerk for the Federal Trade Commission, and served as a judicial extern for the Honorable Oliver W. Wanger in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. He has been on the Homeless Action Center's board for over a decade. Based in Oakland and Berkeley, HAC provides no-cost, barrier-free, culturally competent legal representation that makes it possible for people who are homeless (or at risk of becoming homeless) to access social safety net programs that help restore dignity and provide sustainable income, healthcare, mental health treatment and housing.

7. Arun Ravindran is an accomplished trial lawyer, having tried more than twenty federal cases to jury verdict. He is dedicated to getting his clients the best possible results, even under the most challenging of circumstances. Before joining the firm, Mr. Ravindran litigated complex commercial cases at a prominent Florida law firm. Mr. Ravindran represented companies and individuals in a broad array of business disputes in state and federal courts around the country and also maintained a white-collar criminal defense practice which included grand jury representation

# HEDIN HALL LLP

and *pro bono* post-conviction litigation under the First Step Act. Prior to civil practice, Mr. Ravindran served for nearly five years as an Assistant Federal Public Defender in the Southern District of Florida. He defended individuals charged with federal criminal offenses, from large narcotics conspiracies to investment schemes, international wire frauds, and health care fraud. Mr. Ravindran also represented clients on appeals before the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals, arguing two such appeals as lead counsel. Earlier in his career he was honored to serve as a law clerk to the Honorable Patricia A. Seitz, of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida. Through this experience, Mr. Ravindran gained unique insights into the deliberative process. Prior to his clerkship, Mr. Ravindran proudly served as a Captain in the United States Marine Corps. As a Judge Advocate he represented Marines and Sailors charged in courts-martial with violations of the Uniform Code of Military Justice. Mr. Ravindran graduated with a BA from Emory University in 2002 and an MSc. from the London School of Economics in 2003, and obtained his law degree from Emory Law School in 2007. He is admitted to the Florida and New York bars, the Southern District of Florida, the Southern District of New York, and the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals. Mr. Ravindran is a member of Class X of the Miami Foundation's Miami Fellows program and serves on the Associate Board of Teach for America, Miami-Dade.

8. Our firm currently serves or has served as plaintiffs' counsel in numerous data-privacy, financial services, and securities class actions nationwide. *E.g., Luczak v. Nat'l Beverage Corp.*, No. 18-cv-61631-KMM (S.D. Fla.) (court-appointed counsel for class in action alleging violations of federal securities laws); *Hoffman v. Stephenson, et al. (In re AT&T Sec. Litig.)*, Index No. 650797/2019 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. Cnty.) (co-lead counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting Securities Act claims arising from offering in connection with merger); *Plymouth County Retirement System v. Impinj, Inc., et al.*, Index No. 650629/2019 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. Cnty.) (co-lead counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting Securities Act claims arising from initial and secondary public offerings; \$20 million aggregate recovery); *In re Dentsply Sirona Inc. S'holders Litig.*, Index No. 155393/2018 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. Cnty.)

# HEDIN HALL LLP

(counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting Securities Act claims arising from offering in connection with merger); *In re PPD AI Grp. Sec. Litig.*, Index No. 654482/2018 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. Cnty.) (counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting Securities Act claims arising from initial public offering; class settlement pending); *In re Altice USA, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Index No. 711788/2018 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., Queens Cnty.) (counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting Securities Act claims arising from initial public offering; class settlement pending); *Plutte v. Sea Ltd.*, Index No. 655436/2018 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. Cnty.) (counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting Securities Act claims arising from initial public offering; \$10.75 million class recovery); *In re EverQuote, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Index No. 650907/2019 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. Cnty.) (counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting Securities Act claims arising from initial public offering; \$4.75 million class recovery); *Wolther v. Maheshwari (In re Veeco Instruments, Inc. Sec. Litig.)*, Lead Case No. 18CV329690 (Cal. Sup. Ct., Santa Clara Cnty.) (counsel for plaintiff class of investors asserting Securities Act claims arising from offering in connection with merger); *Huguelet v. Maxim Inc.*, No. 19-cv-4452-ALC (S.D.N.Y.) (recovery on behalf of consumers alleging disclosure of personal reading information in violation of Michigan's Preservation of Personal Privacy Act ("PPPA")); *Kokoszki v. Playboy Enterprises, Inc.*, No. 19-cv-10302-BAF (E.D. Mich.) (same); *Forton v. TEN: Publishing Media, LLC.*, No. 19-cv-11814-JEL (E.D. Mich.) (same); *Kittle v. America's Test Kitchen LP*, No. 19-cv-11757-TGB (E.D. Mich.) (same); *Lin v. Crain Communications Inc.*, No. 19-cv-11889-VAR (E.D. Mich.) (same); *Markham v. Nat'l Geographic Partner's LLC*, No. 19-cv-232-JTN (W.D. Mich.) (same); *Horton, et al. v. GameStop Corp., et al.*, No. 18-cv-0596-GJQ (W.D. Mich.) (same); *Owens, et al. v. Bank of America, N.A., et al.*, No. 19-cv-20614-MGC (S.D. Fla.) (\$4.95 million class-wide settlement); *Liggio v. Apple Federal Credit Union*, No. 18-cv-1059-LO (E.D. Va.) (\$2.7 million class-wide settlement).